UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,658	03/17/2004	Rodrick A. HERDMAN	EZL-001M	2657
26868 HASSE & NES	7590 04/07/200 BITT LLC	EXAMINER		
8837 CHAPEL	SQUARE DRIVE	GALL, LLOYD A		
SUITE C CINCINNATI,	ОН 45249		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/708,658	HERDMAN, RODRICK A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lloyd A. Gall	3673		

	Lloyd A. Gall	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (by MONTHS OF THE FINAL RES	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailino b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	•	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**						
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,9,11,12,22,23,44-55 and 57-78</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.							
	/Lloyd A. Gall/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U April 2, 2008	nit 3673					
	·						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Even if all of the change balls were claimed as being raised by a change tool, it is submitted that this would entail an obvious matter of design choice in the rejection of the claims, as Monahan (as modified by the EP reference) teaches that the shims (change ball as modified by the EP reference) may be raised at a retainer cavity where chosen, as shown at the left side of fig. 6, fig. 13. It is submitted that all claimed structure is taught by the prior art references. It is also noted that the claims are not drawn to a method of use of a lock assembly and a change tool. With respect to the claiming of a linear edge on the change tool, it is submitted that the tool of Monahan is linear at least along portions thereof, and would be entirely linear when modified to raise all change balls, with the matter of design choice in the rejection.